

## United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO.  | FILING DATE    | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.       | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|--|----------------|----------------------|---------------------------|------------------|
| 08/962,027   | 10/31/1997     | EUGENIO A. CEFALI    | 32892.23                  | 8371             |
| 75   | 590 06/18/2003 |                      |                           |                  |
| KAREN J. MESSICK, ESQ.<br>c/o PHARMACEUTICALS, INC.<br>1001 BRICKELL BAY DRIVE |                | ı                    | EXAMINER JOYNES, ROBERT M |                  |
|  |                |                      |                           |                  |
| 25th FLOOR<br>MIAMI, FL 33131  |                | •                    | ART UNIT                  | PAPER NUMBER     |
|  |                |                      | 1615                      | 26               |
|  |                |                      | DATE MAILED: 06/18/2003   |                  |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

|   | · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·   | Application No.         | Applicant(s)                                       |  |  |  |
|---|---|-------------------------|--|--|--|--|
| •   |   | 08/962,027              | CEFALI, EUGENIO A.                                 |  |  |  |
| Office Action Summary   |   | Examiner                | Art Unit   |  |  |  |
|   | ·   | Robert M. Joynes        | 1615   |  |  |  |
| <del></del>   | The MAILING DATE of this communication app  | 1                       |  |  |  |  |
|   | Period for Reply  |                         |  |  |  |  |
| A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).  - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). |   |                         |  |  |  |  |
| Status<br>1)⊠   | Responsive to communication(s) filed on 16.   | lanuary 2003            |  |  |  |  |
| 2a)□  | •   | is action is non-final. |  |  |  |  |
| 3)□   | ,   |                         | rosecution as to the merits is                     |  |  |  |
| closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.   |   |                         |  |  |  |  |
| · ·   | on of Claims  |                         |  |  |  |  |
| ,—  | Claim(s) 1-28 is/are pending in the application.  |                         |  |  |  |  |
|   | 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.  |                         |  |  |  |  |
| 1   |   |                         |  |  |  |  |
|   |   |                         |  |  |  |  |
| 7) 🗆  |   |                         |  |  |  |  |
| 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.  Application Papers   |   |                         |  |  |  |  |
|   | The specification is objected to by the Examine   | r.                      |  |  |  |  |
| 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.  |   |                         |  |  |  |  |
| Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).   |   |                         |  |  |  |  |
| 11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner.  |   |                         |  |  |  |  |
| If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.  |   |                         |  |  |  |  |
| 12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.   |   |                         |  |  |  |  |
| Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120   |   |                         |  |  |  |  |
| 13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).   |   |                         |  |  |  |  |
| a)[   | a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:  |                         |  |  |  |  |
|   | 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.   |                         |  |  |  |  |
|   | 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  |                         |  |  |  |  |
| * 5   | <ul> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.</li> </ul> |                         |  |  |  |  |
|   | 14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).  |                         |  |  |  |  |
| a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.  15)⊠ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.  |   |                         |  |  |  |  |
| Attachment(s)   |   |                         |  |  |  |  |
| 2) Notic  | e of References Cited (PTO-892)<br>e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)<br>nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) _   | 5) Notice of Informal I | (PTO-413) Paper No(s) Patent Application (PTO-152) |  |  |  |
|   |   |                         |  |  |  |  |

Application/Control Number: 08/962,027

Art Unit: 1615

## **DETAILED ACTION**

Receipt is acknowledged of applicants Request for Continued Examination filed on January 16, 2003.

## Double Patenting

The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. See *In re Goodman*, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); *In re Longi*, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); *In re Van Ornum*, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970);and, *In re Thorington*, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent is shown to be commonly owned with this application. See 37 CFR 1.130(b).

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

Claims 1-28 are rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousnesstype double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1-16 of U.S. Patent No. 6,406,715. Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably Application/Control Number: 08/962,027

Art Unit: 1615

distinct from each other. U.S. Patent No. 6,406,715 claims an intermediate release formulation in the form of tablet suitable for oral administration once-a-day as a single dose for treating hyperlipidemia without causing drug-induced hepatotoxicity to levels which would require the discontinued used of the formulation comprising nicotinic acid in amounts of 2500 mg, 2000 mg, 1000 mg, 750 mg and 500 mg. The instant claims are also drawn to an intermediate release formulation in the form of tablet suitable for oral administration once-a-day as a single dose for treating hyperlipidemia without causing drug-induced hepatotoxicity to levels which would requires the discontinued used of the formulation comprising nicotinic acid in amounts 1000 mg, 750 mg, 500 mg and 375 mg. The difference between the instant claims and U.S. Patent No. 6,406,715 is the instant claims also add  $C_{max}$  and  $T_{max}$  limitations to define the formulation. These limitations are obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to vary in formulations to maximize the effectiveness of the formulation. The formulations will have the same C<sub>max</sub> and T<sub>max</sub> characteristics when the same active agents and additional components are used in the same concentrations. Therefore, the instant claims are rendered obvious over U.S. Patent No. 6,406,715.

## Correspondence

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Robert M. Joynes whose telephone number is (703) 308-8869. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon.-Thurs. 8:30 - 6:00, alternate Fri. 8:30-5:00.

Page 4

Application/Control Number: 08/962,027

Art Unit: 1615

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Thurman K. Page can be reached on (703) 308-2927. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are (703) 305-3592 for regular communications and (703) 305-3592 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-1235.

Robert M. Joynes Patent Examiner Art Unit 1615 June 12, 2003

> THURMAN K PAGE SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 1600